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Motivation

• The need for a major decarbonisation of the energy system has become evident

• Climate change impacts are expected throughout the energy system itself

Traditional risk management approaches are no longer sufficient to evaluate energy-related assets and investment projects
Our contribution

We develop a flexible investment project valuation model that combines:

1. **Integrated assessment modeling (IAM):** The scenarios in the IAM help the economic agent get a sense of transition scenario uncertainty.

2. **Bayesian learning:** The agent progressively forms a belief about the state of the system from the observations of a signal (e.g., carbon price).
Our contribution

• Transition risk is usually evaluated with Integrated assessment model scenarios (Net Zero 2050, Delayed Transition, Disorderly Transition, etc.)

• These scenarios are static (chosen at time 0) and assume perfect knowledge of the scenario by the agent

⇒ We build a stochastic layer on top of the IAM to introduce dynamic scenario uncertainty and progressive learning of the scenario by the agent
Asset stranding and green investment

- We consider two different project valuation problems:
  1. **Optimal exit**: an agent owns a brown plant and wants to understand when it is optimal to decommission (or sell) the plant (with P&L function $h^b(P_t)$ in year $t$).

  The value function of the agent is of the form

  $$
  \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{s=t+1}^{\tau} \beta^{s-t} h^b(P_s) - \beta^{\tau-t} K(\tau) \middle| (P_t, \hat{\pi}_t) = (P, \hat{\pi}) \right]
  $$

  2. **Optimal entry**: an agent wants to understand when it is optimal to invest in a green energy project (with P&L function $h^g(P_t)$ in year $t$).

  The value function of the agent is of the form

  $$
  \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{s=\tau}^{\tau+T} \beta^{s-\tau} h^g(P_s) - \beta^{\tau-t} K(\tau) \middle| (P_\tau, \hat{\pi}_\tau) = (P, \hat{\pi}) \right]
  $$
Modeling the risk factors

- The agent is exposed to different risk factors (state variables), based on the type of project she wants to divest/undertake.

- The risk factors $P_k$ (e.g. electricity price, fuel price, carbon emission allowances price) follow an autoregressive dynamics with mean-reversion rate $\phi_k$, volatility $\sigma_k$, and scenario-dependent mean $\mu_{i,k,t}$:

\[
P_{k,t} = \mu_{i,k,t} + AR_t^k,
\]

where $AR^k$ is an autoregressive component such that

\[
AR_t^k = \phi_k AR_{t-1}^k + \sigma_k \epsilon_t^k,
\]

and $(\epsilon_t^k)$ are i.i.d. standard Gaussian.
Bayesian learning approach

• The information the agent has about the scenario is encoded in a vector $\pi_t$ containing the subjective probabilities of scenarios, which are updated dynamically by the agent.

• The Bayesian update is triggered by the observation of a climate-related signal.

• It may also be triggered by other events (e.g., subjective perception changes).
Bayesian learning approach

- The signal (e.g. carbon price, tons of GHG emissions) is normally distributed with mean $\mu^i_{y,t}$ and volatility $\sigma_y$, that is

$$y_t = \mu^i_{y,t} + \sigma_y \eta_t,$$

with $\eta_t \sim N(0, 1)$ i.i.d.

- Denote by $\pi^i_t$ the conditional probability of $i$-th scenario given the observations of a signal $y$ up to date $t$:

$$\pi^i_t = \mathbb{P}[I = i | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad \mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(y_s, s \leq t).$$
Bayesian learning approach

• Given $\mathcal{F}_{t-1}$, we can define the joint law of $\pi_t$ and $y_t$, and thus obtain simulated paths for the signal $y_t$ and for the resulting conditional probabilities $\pi_t$. 

![Figure: Simulated signal and conditional probability paths](image-url)
Pricing the real option

• We can then simulate paths of the relevant price variables $P_t$, given their law

$$
P[P_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_{t-1}^i P[P_t|I = i, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \ldots
$$

• ...and through the dynamic programming principle we can derive the Bellman equation of the agent’s value function.

• Now, the value of the project can be computed by backward induction similarly to the value of an American option, using Least Squares Monte Carlo
Least Squares Monte Carlo

- The algorithm works by backward induction.
- At each point in time, it compares the convenience of immediate exercise with that of delaying the decision.
- The continuation value from keeping the option alive at each possible exercise point is computed from a least squares cross-sectional regression using the simulated paths.
- In such a way, we obtain both the value of the real option and the optimal exercise time.
Integrated Assessment Models (IAMs)

- IAMs include feedbacks between the global economy, the energy system and the climate system
- They are the convenient tool to analyze the economic impacts of climate change and climate change mitigation measures.
- IAMs are used to generate scenarios of evolution of the economy consistent with given climate objectives, based on a set of assumptions
- In this work, we employ a NGFS IAM, namely REMIND 2.1
REMIND 2.1: 6 alternative scenarios

1. **Current Policies**: existing climate policies remain in place

2. **Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs)**: currently pledged unconditional NDCs are implemented fully, and respective targets on energy and emissions in 2025 and 2030 are reached in all countries;

3. **Delayed Transition (Disorderly)**: there is a “fossil recovery” from 2020 to 2030; Only thereafter countries with a clear commitment to a specific net-zero policy target at the end of 2020 are assumed to meet the target

4. **Below 2°C**: the 67-percentile of warming is kept below 2°C throughout the 21st century

5. **Divergent Net Zero (Disorderly)**: median temperature below 1.5°C in 2100, after a limited temporary overshoot

6. **Net Zero 2050**: global CO₂ emissions are at net-zero in 2050
Optimal exit problem

- We consider an integrated coal gasification plant without CCS technology, located in Germany.
- The plant presents 3 risk factors, namely the price of electricity, the price of coal and the price of carbon.
Optimal exit problem: Results

- Sensitivity of the RO value to the volatility of the signal $\sigma_y$ (signal: total GHG emissions)
Optimal exit problem: Results

- Sensitivity of the RO value to the volatility of risk factors $\Sigma$ (signal: total GHG emissions)
Optimal exit problem: Results

- Sensitivity of the RO value to the risk-adjusted discount rate $r$ (signal: total GHG emissions)
Optimal exit problem: Results

Decommissioning cost fraction: 0.1

Decommissioning cost fraction: -0.1
Conclusions

• We present a new strategy for evaluating investment projects, based on a combination of standard real options techniques with a macroeconomic approach for climate transition analysis

• The agent continuously observes a noisy climate-related signal, and forms a belief relative to the likelihood of the possible current macroeconomic climate scenarios

• We show there is value in the learning process of the agent and that the progressive resolution of scenario uncertainty is essential for precise valuation of energy projects
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Optimal entry problem

- We consider an integrated biomass power plant with CCS technology, located in Germany.
- The plant presents 2 risk factors, namely the price of electricity, and the price of biofuel.

![Graphs showing electricity and biofuel prices from 2020 to 2050 under different scenarios including Below 2°C, Current Policies, Delayed transition, Divergent Net Zero, Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs), and Net Zero 2050.]
Optimal entry problem: Results

- Sensitivity of the RO value to the volatility of the signal $\sigma_y$ (signal: carbon price)
Optimal entry problem: Results

- Sensitivity of the RO value to the volatility of risk factors $\Sigma$ (signal: carbon price)

![Graph showing the relationship between project value and optimal exercise time.](image)
Optimal entry problem: Results

- Sensitivity of the RO value to the risk-adjusted discount rate \( r \) (signal: carbon price)